ORIGINAL

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

9/34/07

YORK WALLCOVERINGS, INC.,

Plaintiff,

NO. 1:CV-01-0793

٧.

(The Hon. Sylvia H. Rambo)

S.A. MAXWELL COMPANY, INC. and JAIMA BROWN,

Defendants.

DEFENDANTS' SPECIAL VERDICT QUESTIONS

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

SEP/2 7 200

MARY E. WANDREA, CE

*

1)	Has York established ownership of a valid copyright in the design of its elephant sidewall?				
	Yes No				
	(If your answer to this question is "yes," please go to the next question. It is "no," skip to question 6.)				
2a)	Is the design of Maxwell's elephant and palm sidewall substantially similar to the copyrightable elements of the design of York's elephant sidewall?				
	Yes No				
2b)	Is the design of Maxwell's elephant and palm border substantially similar to the copyrightable elements of the design of York's elephant border?				
	Yes No				
	(If your answer to either question is "yes," please go to the next question. If it is "no" to both questions, skip to question 6.)				
3)	Were the Maxwell elephant and palm sidewall and the Maxwell elephant and palm border independently created?				
	Yes No				
	(If your answer to this question is "yes," skip to question 6. If it is "no," please go to the next question).				
4)	What is the amount of profits, if any, derived by Maxwell from (a) its sales of the infringing elephant and palm sidewall design, (b) its sales of the infringing elephant and palm border design and (c) its sales of the infringing fabrics?				
	(a) \$				
	(b) \$				
	(c) \$				

5)	Has York established with reasonable probability the existence of a causal connection between Maxwell's infringement and some loss of anticipated revenue? If yes, what is the amount of that loss, if any?				
	Yes	, \$	No		
	rnative Question on C opriate Measure]	opyright Damages i	f Reasonable Ro	yalty is Held to Be	
	Has York established be a reasonable perce purchased because of palm sidewall, border	ntage of Maxwell's state their design, which	sales of the productions would include Ma	cts that were	
	Yes	,\$	No		
6)	Has York shown that sample book serve to selling and the public	identify its source to	an appreciable se	Passport Collection egment of the retailers	
	Yes	No			
		er to this question is a deliberations are or		o the next question. If to the courtroom.)	
7)	Has York shown that sample book is likely the public purchasing	to cause an apprecia	ble segment of the	nnial Collection e retailers selling and oks come from York?	
	Yes	No			
	(If your answer to this question is "yes," please go to the next question. If it is "no," your deliberations are over; please return to the courtroom.)				
8)	Has York established that the cover of Maxwell's Sesquicentennial Collection sample book has caused actual deception as to the source of the other (non-elephant and palm) sidewalls, borders and fabrics included therein?				
	Yes	No			
		er to this question is ir deliberations are o		o the next question. It to the courtroom.)	

What is the amount of profits, if any, derived by Maxwell from its sales of the other (non-elephant and palm) sidewalls, borders and fabrics included in its Sesquicentennial Collection Sample Book that were the result of such actual deception?

\$_____

(You may now return to the courtroom with your verdict.)

Dated: New York, New York September 26, 2002

Respectfully submitted,

Albert Robin (AR-7254) Robin Blecker & Daley Attorneys for Defendants 330 Madison Avenue New York, NY 10017 (212) 682-9640

Of Counsel:

Joseph L. Turchi Rawle & Henderson, LLP The Widener Building One South Penn Square Philadelphia, PA 19107